



भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं. 20]
No. 20]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, जून 13, 1992/ज्येष्ठ 23, 1914
NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, JUNE 13, 1992/JYAJSTHA 23, 1914

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह जलग संकलन को एक अ-
रखा जा सके
Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a
separate compilation

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (III)
PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (III)

(संघ राज्य क्षेत्र प्रशासन को छोड़कर) केन्द्रीय अधिकारियों द्वारा जारी किए गए आदेश और अधिसूचनाएँ
Orders and Notifications issued by Central Authorities (other than Administrations of Union
Territories)

भारत निर्वाचन आयोग

नई दिल्ली, 29 मई, 1992

आ. अ. 152.—लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 (1951 का 43) की धारा 106 के अनुसरण में, निर्वाचन आयोग
1991 की निर्वाचन अर्जी सं. 12 में मध्य प्रदेश उच्च न्यायालय, जबलपुर के तारीख 26 मार्च, 1992 के आदेश को एतद्वारा
प्रकाशित करता है।

[म. 82/म.प्र.—लो. स./12/91/92]

आदेश से,
बलवन्त सिंह, सचिव

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA
New Delhi, the 29th May, 1992

Election Petition No. 12 of 1991
Ramji Lal Tiwari

Vs.

Anjani Kumar and others

ORDER

O.N. 152.—In pursuance of section 106 of the Representa-
tion of the People Act, 1951 (43 of 1951) the Election
Commission hereby publishes the order of the High Court
of Madhya Pradesh, Jabalpur dated 26th March, 1992 in
Election Petition No. 12 of 1991.

[No. 82/MP-HP/12/91/92]

By Order,
BALWANT SINGH, Secy.

In General Parliamentary Election held in the month of
May, 1991, the respondents, 22 in number had contested the
election from Damoh Constituency No. 7 (hereinafter referred
to as Constituency No. 7), in which the petitioner is registered

voter of Jabera in booth No. 111, as a part of Damoh Constituency No. 7. Dalchand Jan, respondent No. 5 was sponsored as Congress Candidate while Ramkrishna Kusmaria was secured by Bhartiya Janta Party. The date of polling for said Constituency No. 7 was 20th May, 1991 and the counting took place on 16th June, 1991. The result of the election of the said Constituency was declared on 17th June, 1991 in which respondent No. 16 Ramkrishna Kusmaria was declared successful and elected by margin of over 16,000 votes. According to the petitioner, the total votes polled were 4,34,405 out of which, the returned candidate Ramkrishna Kusmaria had secured 1,98,708 votes while the Congress Candidate Dalchand Jan, respondent No. 5 had secured 1,82,550 votes. The returned candidate had thus secured 16,158 votes more than the Congress candidate, respondent No. 5. The petitioner, who is a registered voter in the said constituency No. 7 has filed this election petition challenging the election of the returned candidate, respondent No. 16 Ramkrishna Kusmaria, on the round of non-compliance of section 35-A of the Conduct of Elections Rules, 1961, booth capturing and other corrupt practices.

2. None of the respondents except the returned candidate respondent No. 16 Ramkrishna Kusmaria, have come forward to contest this election petition. Returned candidate, respondent No. 16, after service of notice of this election petition, entered appearance through counsel and filed an Interlocutory Application (I.A. No. 16/91) raising preliminary objection as to the maintainability of the election petition, contending that the pleadings contained in the election petition do not contain the concise statement of material facts so as to constitute a complete cause of action but the allegations are vague and general and, therefore, the pleadings are liable to be struck off and the petition deserves to be dismissed/rejected. The petitioner has opposed the Interlocutory application by filing the reply thereto.

In the case of Dharti Pakad Vs. Rajiv Gandhi (A.I.R. 1987 S.C. 1577) it has been observed that if the Court is satisfied that the election petition does not make out any cause of action and that the trial would prejudice embarrass and delay the proceedings, the Court need not wait for the filing of the written statement instead it can proceed to hear the preliminary objections and strike out the pleadings. If after striking out the pleadings the Court finds that no triable issues remain to be considered, it has power to reject the election petition under order 7, rule 11 of the Code of Civil Procedure. Thus the short question for determination by this Court at this stage is whether the election petition in the present form is lacking in the concise statement of material facts and particulars relating to non-compliance of Rule 35-A of the Conduct of Elections Rules, 1961 (hereinafter referred to as 'the 1961 Rules') as well as in respect of various corrupt practices alleged to have been committed by the returned candidate, which do not disclose a complete cause of action by reason of which the petition is liable to be dismissed or rejected.

3. In order to appreciate the allegations made in the Interlocutory application under consideration, various allegations made in the petition on the basis of which the election of the returned candidate is challenged, have to be critically gone into. But before I proceed to examine the pleadings it would be appropriate to look to the appropriate provisions of law relating to the question in controversy. Section 83 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Act'), mandates that an election petition—

- (a) shall contain a concise statement of the material facts on which the petitioner relies;
- (b) shall set forth full particulars of any corrupt practice that the petitioner alleges, including as full a statement as possible of the names of the parties alleged to have committed such corrupt practice and the date and place of the commission of each such practice.

4. Section 86 of the Act contemplates that the High Court shall dismiss an election petition which does not comply with the provisions of section 81 or section 82 or section 117 of the Act. Further section 87 of the Act provides that subject to the provisions of this Act and the Rules made thereunder,

every election petition shall be tried by the High Court, as nearly as may be, in accordance with the procedure applicable under the Code of Civil Procedure 1908 to the trial of suits. It is thus clear that in the trial of the election petitions also, the same procedure as prescribed for trial of a suit under the Code of Civil Procedure has to be followed. That being so, the provisions of order 6 of the Code relating to the pleadings would be applicable. Rule 2 of order 6 of the Code of Civil Procedure requires to state material facts and that every pleading shall contain, and contain only, a statement in a concise form of the material facts on which the party pleading relies for his claim or defence, as the case may be. Thus the provisions of section 83 of the Act are almost similar in nature as those contained in Rule 2 of order 6 of the Code. Further order 7, rule 11(a) of the Code of Civil Procedure empowers the Court to reject the plaint where it does not disclose the cause of action. Thus the provisions of the Act and the Code of Civil Procedure both empower the Court to dismiss the election petition if the same is wanting in material facts and particulars.

5. The requirement of concise statement of material facts and particulars in an election petition and the consequences of lack of such allegations of concised statement of material facts and particulars with reference to sections 81, 83 and 86 of the Act, came up for consideration by their Lordships of the Supreme Court in the case of S. N. Balakrishna Vs. Fernandez (A.I.R. 1969 S.C. 1201). In that connection, their Lordship of the Supreme Court laid down 7 proposition, namely :—

- (i) Section 83 of the Act is mandatory and requires the election petition to contain first a concise statement of material facts and then requires the fullest possible particulars. The word "material" shows that the facts necessary to formulate a complete cause of action must be stated.
- (ii) Omission of a single material fact leads to an incomplete cause of action and the statement of claim becomes bad.
- (iii) The function of particulars is to present as full a picture of the cause of action with such further information in detail as to make the opposite party understand the case he will have to meet.
- (iv) There may be some overlapping between material facts and particulars but the two are quite
- (v) The material facts will mention the statement of facts and particulars will set out the names of persons with date, time and place.
- (vi) The material facts will show the ground of corrupt practice and the complete cause of action and the particulars will give the necessary information to present a full picture of the cause of action.
- (vii) In stating the material facts it will not do merely to quote the words of the section because then the efficiency of the words 'material facts' will be lost. The fact which constitutes the corrupt practice must be stated and the fact must be correlated to one of the heads of corrupt practice.

The election petition without the material facts relating to a corrupt practice is not an election petition at all. A petition which merely cites the sections cannot be said to disclose a cause of action, where the allegation is obtaining or procuring of assistance unless the exact type and form of assistance and the persons from whom it is sought and the manner in which the assistance is to further the prospect of election, are alleged as statement of facts.

6. The aforesaid view was again reiterated to the Supreme Court in *Hardwar Lal v. Kanwal Singh* (A.I.R. 1972 S.C. 515), wherein it has been laid down that it was essential and imperative for the election petitioner to set out with exactitude and precision the type of assistance and also the manner in which the assistance was obtained or procured from each person. The time date any price of the assistance were also required to be mentioned in the particulars. Thus it has to be alleged as the material facts as to what assistance the appellant obtained or procured or abetted or attempted to

obtain or procure from which person and how the assistance furthered the prospects of the appellant's election. If all the four variants and ingredients were to be charged against the appellant these had to be set out as statements of material facts in relation to each person.

7. It is also settled law that the charges of corrupt practices have been equated with criminal charges and proof thereof would be not on preponderance of probability as in civil cases but to be proved beyond reasonable doubt as in criminal trial and the candidate's consent to his supporters accused of corrupt practice cannot be presumed but should be proved beyond reasonable doubt. The consent of the returned candidate may be inferred from the circumstances but the circumstances must point unerringly to the conclusion and must not admit of any other explanation. In *Ram Shaan Yadav v. Thakur Muneshwar Nath Singh and others* (AIR 1985 SC 24) it was held that if any acts of corrupt practice are committed by agent of the candidate who were not prevented by the candidate from doing so, no such inference that the acts were committed with positive consent or knowledge can be drawn.

8. After having discussed the law on the subject in question, I shall now examine the pleadings of the petitioner to see whether they suffer from the requisite mandatory pleadings. Paragraphs 1 to 5 of the petition are only formal in nature giving the programme of the election, the contesting candidates and the result of the election. The main part of the petition setting forth the ground on which the election of the returned candidate is challenged, are contained in paragraph No. 6 of the petition and onwards.

9. The allegations in paragraph 6 of the petition are that there were about 1215 polling booths in the entire Parliamentary Constituency no. 7 in which nearly 8500 Government employees and officers were on election duty and most of them were also registered voters of the Constituency no. 7. It is alleged that Rule 35-A of the 1961 Rules the election duty certificate in Form 12-B has to be issued to the public servant on election duty to entitle them to vote in the election. But such certificates were issued only to 5 per cent employees on election duty as a result of which the remaining Government employees and officers could not exercise their right of franchise. The reason being that the allocation of election duties which should have been made at least 10 days in advance were made at the last moment and, therefore, the Returning Officers and Assistant Returning Officers could not arrange the issuance of election duty certificates in Form 12-B to such Government servants who were in election duty. It is alleged that non-compliance of Rule 35A materially affected the election prospects of the Congress Candidate Dalchand Jain as according to the petitioner, the majority of the Government servants were expected to vote for the Congress candidate. In this connection it may be pointed out that there are absolutely no pleadings of material fact as to on what date, the election duties were assigned to the alleged Government servants. There is only a vague and general allegation that the allocation of election duties was made at the last moment which is understood by the petitioner alone. It conveys no definite idea about the date and time of the allocation of election duty in order to enable the contesting candidate to meet the same. This apart sub-rule (2) of Rule 20 of 1961 Rules provides that if a public servant who is a voter and is on election duty wishes to vote in person at an election and not by post, he shall send an application in Form 12A to the Returning Officer so as to reach him at least four days, or such shorter period as the Returning Officer may allow, before the date of poll, and if the Returning Officer is satisfied that the applicant is such public servant and voter on election duty in the Constituency, he shall issue to the applicant an election duty certificate in Form 12B. It is thus clear that the certificate contemplated to be issued in Form 12B could be issued to only such public servant who is a voter and on election duty and who also applied for the certificate in Form 12B. In the present case there are absolutely no pleadings that any public servant who was on election duty had applied for the certificate to the Returning Officer. Thus the pleadings in paragraph 6 of the election petition do not contain the concise statement of material facts and the particulars thereof and hence the allegations contained in paragraph 6 of the petition do not disclose the complete cause of action as required by law.

10. The allegations contained in paragraphs 7 to 10 of the petition are with regard to booth capturing and the Returning Officer having taken no steps to order re-poll which is a corrupt practice as defined in sub-section (1) and (8) of Section 125 of the Act. In paragraph 7 it is alleged that there was a large scale booth capturing in Constituency no. 7, reports or which were made by the Presiding Officer of the Polling Booth, mentioned in sub-paragraphs (i) to (ix). The reports are also alleged to have been made by the Zonal Officers and Sector Magistrates. It is also alleged that similar reports were made by the Presiding Officers of 100 or other polling booths but the Returning Officer took no steps to order re-poll in the said polling booths because they were believed to be under the pressure of ruling Bhartiya Janta Party and its candidate Kamkrisna Kustumang respondent no. 10. It is further alleged that it is believed that later on reports were taken from those Presiding Officers where such booth capturing and illegal stamping took place in favour of the Bhartiya Janta Party Candidate which are all worded identically vide Annexure 3 to 9 with a view to help obviate re-poll or a re-count in those polling booths presumably under the pressure. It is alleged that the said reports of the Presiding Officers are apparently illegal, unreasonable and concocted. It is alleged that the Returning Officer took no steps to inform the Election Commission about the large scale booth capturing by the Bhartiya Janta Party supporters and workers which affected the election of the Congress-I candidate materially and ruined the election prospects of the Bhartiya Janta Party candidate with the help and connivance of the Returning Officer and Presiding Officers.

11. The allegations contained in paragraph 7 of the petition which are precisely discussed in paragraph 10 above are clearly lacking in material facts and particulars. The allegations about booth capturing are sweeping and general. There is no pleading as to the manner of booth capturing by whom and at what point of time. There is also no pleading as to how the alleged booth capturing had materially affected the prospects of Congress-I candidate, respondent no. 5 and how the same had ruined the prospects of the Returned candidate, respondent no. 10. There is a vague allegation that there was large scale booth capturing by the supporters and workers of Bhartiya Janta Party, without giving any names or any particulars of those supporters and workers. There is also a similar vague allegation that the Returning Officer under the pressure of ruling Bhartiya Janta Party took no steps in informing the Election Commission or directing re-poll, but no facts and circumstances have been pleaded to inter the alleged pressure on the Returning Officer. It was essential and imperative for the election petitioner to set out with exactitude and precision the type of booth capturing as also the manner in which it took place as well as the time, the persons who had actually committed the alleged booth capturing and how it had materially affected the prospects of Congress I candidate and furthered the prospects of the Returned candidate.

12. In paragraph 8 of the petition the allegation is that the action of the Returning Officer and the Polling Officers in covering up the large scale violation of sanctity of voting right by illegal stamping of ballots and capturing booth by Bhartiya Janta Party supporters and workers is an assistance given to the Returned candidate with his consent, for the furtherance of his election. Here it may again be pointed out that in the absence of any material, no inference can be drawn regarding the assistance given by the Returning Officer and the Polling Officers to the Returned candidate by covering up the alleged violation of the sanctity of the voting rights by the alleged booth capturing or illegal stamping of votes by the Bhartiya Janta Party supporters and workers. There is also absolutely no material to suggest any consent on the part of the Returned candidate. On the contrary the annexures 3 to 9 filed by the petitioner himself speak against the allegations made by the petitioner in the petition. Not only this but the petitioner has further made sweeping and vague allegations in the end of paragraph 8 of the petition that he also received reports from large number of other booths about the large number of reception of illegal votes throughout the constituency, without mentioning the persons from whom those reports were received as well as the date, time and place in respect of which the said reports were received.

13. The allegations in paragraphs 9 and 10 of the petition are equally vague and wanting in material facts and particulars and they do not disclose any cause of action.

14. The allegations in paragraph 11 of the petition are that thousands of ballot papers were found inside the ballot box at the time of the counting without any serial number for which a news item was published in 'Desh Bandhu' Satna, dated 29-5-1991 (Annexure 13) which fact is said to have been denied by the Collection Satna as published in 'Desh Bandhu' dated 31-5-91 (Annexure 14). It is difficult to understand as to how these allegations furnish a ground for challenging the election of the Returned candidate. Besides the allegation appears to be unfounded per se as the news item was published on 29-5-1991 while according to the petitioner, the counting took place on 16th June, 1991. If the counting took place on 16th June, 1991, the ballot boxes would have been opened on the same date. It is difficult to understand as to how the news paper published on 29-5-91 that thousands of ballot papers without any serial number were found in the ballot boxes at the time of the counting. The allegation is wholly misconceived.

15. In paragraph 12 of the petition, it has been alleged that no account of unserialised ballot papers has been given to the candidates, while the Congress-I candidate had made a representation to the Returning Officer on 25-6-1991 seeking the details of the total number of unserialised ballot papers found or recovered from the ballot boxes and a break up of their recovery from each polling booth but the Returning Officer and the Assistant Returning Officer refused to give an account thereof. It is further alleged that it is believed that more than 10,000 unserialised ballot papers were stamped and put in the ballot boxes in favour of the returned candidate at the time of booth capturing. It is also alleged that during the counting thousands of such unserialised ballot papers were included and added in the tally of votes of the returned candidate. The allegation appears to be an afterthought. There is no positive allegation that any unserialised ballot paper was stamped and used in the voting. The petitioner has made a faint allegation that it is believed that unserialised ballot papers were put in the ballot boxes in favour of the returned candidate. There is absolutely no allegation that any unserialised ballot paper was found at the time of the counting. If it had been so found, there would have been a complaint to that effect by any of the contesting candidates who were quite large in number or atleast by their counting agents or any other authorised persons present at the time of the counting. In the absence of unsealed ballot papers having been found or recovered from the ballot boxes, the question of giving their details or break-up by the Returning Officer or the Assistant Returning Officer did not arise at all.

16. The petitioner in paragraph 13 of the petition has alleged that it was not possible for the counting agents of the contesting parties to oversee the counting from close quarters so as to be able to notice the absence of serial numbers on the ballot papers. This fact was grossly overlooked at the time of the counting either deliberately or in connivance. It is alleged that the counting of such large number of unserialised ballot papers in the tally of votes has prejudicially affected the prospects of the Returned candidate. It is alleged that it is believed that more than 10,000 votes have been crossed in favour of the Returned candidate. Here again the allegations are nothing but surmises and conjectures which cannot be taken into account without material facts and particulars. The counting is done in the presence of the contesting candidates, their agents and authorised persons within their vision, which is open to any valid objection in case of any irregularity in that behalf. It is difficult to accept that it is not possible for the counting agents to oversee the counting from the close quarters and notice the absence of serial numbers on the ballot papers, if any. There is absolutely no allegation that any ballot paper was noticed having no serial number and, therefore, the question of counting of such unserialised ballot papers in favour of any candidate has to be ruled

out. If there had been any such incident that must have been brought to the notice of the officials responsible for the counting and a complaint to that effect would have been made. The petitioner has not mentioned as to how and in what manner the election prospects of the Congress candidate were materially affected and how and in what manner it helped the election prospects of the Returned candidate.

17. Paragraph 14 of the petition relates to Annexure 14 which is a paper cutting dated 31-5-91 wherein it has been published that one vehicle full of ballot boxes reached the strong room after considerable delay. It has been alleged that the Returning Officer and the Assistant Returning Officer have not disclosed as to what steps did they take to verify that there was no tampering with the ballot boxes during this delay. It has been alleged that it is a case of tampering of secret ballot papers with the connivance of the Bhartiya Janta Party workers and it is alleged that about 5 to 10 thousands of votes were tampered which amounts to illegal assistance.

The allegation seems to be based entirely in the news item dated 31-5-91, the correctness of which could not be accepted without any material to support the same. Besides mere delay of the vehicle in reaching the Strong Room does not lead to any inference of tampering with the ballot boxes. If there was any tampering with any ballot boxes objection would have been by the candidates, their agents and other authorised persons at the time of the counting when the ballot boxes were presented and opened in their presence. But no such objection appears to have been taken by anybody, because there was in fact no tampering with the ballot boxes. The allegation seem to be based entirely on unfounded inferences and presumptions for which there is no material.

18. After a critical and detailed examination of the petition and contents thereof, this Court is of the firm opinion that the pleadings and averments relating to the alleged corrupt practices are wanting in concise statements of facts and particulars as to the details of corrupt practice. The allegations do not conform to the mandatory requirements of section 83 of the Act read with order 6 of the Code of Civil Procedure so as to constitute complete cause of action. That being so, the preliminary objection raised on behalf of the Returned candidate (respondent no. 16 herein) have to be accepted and the petition deserves to be rejected at the threshold under order 7 rule 11 of the Code of Civil Procedure as it does not disclose any triable cause of action.

19. An important feature of this petition which needs mention is that apparently this election petition appears to have been filed to the benefit of the respondent no. 5 Dalchand Jain, who contested the election on Congress ticket, but surprisingly enough even the respondent no. 5 did not come forward to support the allegation made to his advantage. He did not even choose to file written statement to support the vague allegation of corrupt practice, if in fact there was any corrupt practice committed by any candidate, his agent or by any other person with the consent of the candidate or his election agent. No candidate out of 22 candidates who contested the election has come forward with any allegation much less an allegation of corrupt practice so as to lend support to the petitioner.

20. For the reasons stated above, the petition deserves to be rejected and hence it is hereby rejected accordingly. Counsel fees Rs. 500 if certified to be paid by the petitioner to respondent no. 16. Petitioner and respondents no. 1 to 15 and 17 to 22 shall bear their own costs.

(Sd/- Illegible)

JUDGE

26-3-1992

भारत निर्वाचन आयोग

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 7 मई, 1992

आ. प्र. 153.—निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (2) में तथा विनिर्दिष्ट उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के साधारण निर्वाचन के लिए जो स्तम्भ (3) में विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन क्षेत्र से हुआ है, स्तम्भ (4) में उसके सामने विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन लड़ने वाला प्रत्येक अभ्यर्थी, लोकप्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्वीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित उक्त सारणी के स्तम्भ (5) में तथा दशित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है।

और उक्त अभ्यर्थियों ने सम्यक् सूचना दिए जाने पर भी उक्त असफलता के लिए कोई कारण प्रथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है और निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उनके पास उक्त असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नहीं है,

अतः अब, निर्वाचन आयोग उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-क के अनुसरण में नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (4) में विनिर्दिष्ट व्यक्तियों को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा प्रथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहित घोषित करता है।

सारणी

क्रम सं.	निर्वाचन का विवरण	निर्वाचन क्षेत्र की क्र. सं. और नाम	निर्वाचन लड़ने वाले अभ्यर्थी का नाम और पता	निरहुता का कारण
1	2	3	4	5
1.	उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन 1989	1—उत्तर काशी (भ. ज. .)	श्रीमती सुशीला, कुमार खेड़ा, नरेश्वरनगर, टिहरी गढ़वाल (उ. प्र. .)	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहें।
2.	—वही—	2—टिहरी	श्री पियूष उन्नाल, मसेरी रोड़, चम्पा, टिहरी गढ़वाल (उ. प्र. .)	—वही—
3.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री पूरण सिंह, ग्राम गोरण सिराई, पो. सिराई पट्टी जुवा, टिहरी गढ़वाल (उ० प्र०)	—वही—
4.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री सत्यनारायण, ग्राम चमियासा, पो. चमियासा, जिला टिहरी गढ़वाल (उ. प्र. .)	—वही—
5.	—वही—	5—पीडी	श्री अपतील, ग्राम गभत्याणा, श्रीनगर	—वही—
6.	—वही—	7—बद्री केशर	श्रीमती प्रभासती, ग्राम व पो. सैफोट, जिला चमोली, (उ. प्र. .)	—वही—
7.	—वही—	15—हलद्वानी	श्री सुरेन्द्र कुमार, भगत सिंह चौक, रुद्रपुर (उ. प्र. .)	—वही—
8.	—वही—	24—कांठ	श्री अहसान, ग्राम कुहामीरपुर, अमरोहा, (उ. प्र. .)	—वही—
9.	—वही—	33—मुरादाबाद	श्री गणेश, मौहल्ला बरसावान कटधर, मुरादाबाद, (उ. प्र. .)	—वही—

1	2	3	4	5
10.	उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन 1989	मुरादाबाद	श्री गोपाल शीले, गंगा मन्दिर के पीछे, कायरा, मुरादाबाद, (उ.प्र.)	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहें।
11.	-वही-	-वही-	मु. मुरसलीन घन्नासी, मो. काजी टोला, मुरादाबाद, (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
12.	-वही-	40—बिसौली	श्री अब्दुल जम्हार, मो. आजाद चौक, इस्लामनगर, बदायूं, (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
13.	-वही-	41—गुझौर	श्री बहादुर सिंह यादव, ग्राम व पो. हरफरी, जिला बदायूं, (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
14.	-वही-	42—सहसवान	श्री सलीम साबिर सहीक, पुत्र कदीर, भलेकखा सराय, बदायूं, (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
15.	-वही-	44—बदायूं	मो. बजीस मो. नागरान, बदायूं, (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
16.	-वही-	52—बरेली सीटी	श्री सुनील कुमार, 616, साहूकार, बरेली, (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
17.	-वही-	61—मुवायों (अ.ज.)	श्री भगवान शरण, ग्राम बनियानी, पो. जेवां, जिला शाहजहांपुर, (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
18.	-वही-	62—निगोही	श्री रामप्रकाश, ग्राम व पो. मीरानपुर, कटरा, शाहजहांपुर, (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
19.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री रामस्वरूप ग्राम व पो. बौनी, जिला पीलीभीत, (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
20.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री सहदेवा, ग्राम डेलखेडा, परगना, निगोही जिला शाहजहांपुर, (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
21.	-वही-	79—सीतापुर	श्री परवन, ग्राम टिकरिया, पो. रहीमाबाद, सीतापुर, (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
22.	-वही-	86—हरदोई	श्री छेदी लाल, मो. 54, गिप्सगंज, हरदोई, (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
23.	-वही-	92—बंगरमऊ	श्री सुबेदार, ग्राम खसक खेडा, मजुरे दौली, जिला उन्नाव, (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
24.	-वही-	94—उन्नाव	श्री जगरूप प्रसाद, 80, उत्तरी पूर्वी मुक्तगंज, उन्नाव (उ.प्र.)	-वही-

1	2	3	4	5
25.	उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन 1989	97—पुरवा	श्री केदार नाथ ग्राम पहाडपुर, तह. पुरवा, जिला उन्नाव (उ.प्र.)	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहें।
26.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री जाफर मुहम्मद पो. चन्दनगंज कस्बा भौरावा, जिला उन्नाव (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
27.	-वही-	99—मलीहाबाद (अ.जा.)	श्री चन्द्रभान ग्राम लाल बाग काफोरी, जिला लखनऊ (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
28.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री मेवा लाल, ग्राम नेजा भानी, पो. मलीहाबाद जिला लखनऊ (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
29.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री राम आसरे ग्राम मधवापुर (कसमण्डी खुर्द) पो. मलिहाबाद (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
30.	-वही-	102—लखनऊ पश्चिम	श्री मुहम्मद आरिफ 9, शाहगंज, लखनऊ	-वही-
31.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री चन्द्र किशोर (चमन) एडवोकेट, 325/135, लोधी डोला चौक, लखनऊ (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
32.	-वही-	104—लखनऊ कैंटमेंट	श्री अब्दुल नसीर नासिर, 64/112, मस्जिद लेन, लालकुंआ, लखनऊ	-वही-
33.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री शिव मूर्ति सिंह एस. एच. 1 फतेहगंजी का मालाव चारबाग, लखनऊ	-वही-
34.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री शिव कुमार अवस्थी, सोहन लाल, मुरारी पाठशाला, पूर्वी बिरहना, लखनऊ	-वही-
35.	-वही-	105—सरोजिनी नगर	श्री सत्यनारायण यादव चढ़ाई का पुरवा, अर्जुनगंज, लखनऊ।	-वही-
36.	-वही-	117—ठठवारा	श्री राम दास बमनन, प्रतापगढ़ (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
37.	-वही-	118—प्रतापगढ़	श्री राम लखन सगरा, प्रतापगढ़ (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
38.	-वही-	119—सीतापुर	श्री मुन्नी लाल परसामऊ ग्रामापुर बेरी प्रतापगढ़ (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
39.	-वही-	121—अमेठी**	श्री भगवत प्रसाद ग्राम व.पो. सबनगी सुल्तानपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
40.	-वही-	123—जगदीशपुर (अ.जा.)	श्री प्रह्लाद ग्राम अछनपुरा, पो. मारा सुल्तानपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-

**119—सीतापुर का शेष पृष्ठ संख्या 793, क्रम संख्या 52 पर देखें।

1	2	3	4	5
41.	उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन 1989	124—इसीली	श्री सरजू ग्राम व पो. जूड़ा पट्टी मुस्तानपुर (उ.प्र.)	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहें।
42.	-वही-	126—जयसिंहपुर	श्री रामचन्द्र ग्राम परसोहा, पो. करिबन मुस्तानपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
43.	-वही-	155—तुलसीपुर	श्री राघवेंद्र ग्राम कल्याणपुर, पो. नौकनियां, जिला गोण्डा (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
44.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री श्यामजी ग्राम व पो. लालनगर सिपरिया, जिला गोण्डा (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
45.	-वही-	157—उतरीला	श्री मान बहादुर उतरीला (गोसाईजोत) (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
46.	-वही-	156—बलरामपुर	श्रीमती किशुनी देवी ग्राम उदयराम पुरवा, पो. हनुवा डोल, गोण्डा (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
47.	-वही-	158—सादुल्लानगर	श्री मुहम्मद बसीम ग्राम नेबावा, पो. सादुल्लानगर, गोण्डा (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
48.	-वही-	160—मुजेहना	श्री रमेश चन्द्र भारद्वाज ग्राम व पो. खरगपुर बाजार, जिला गोण्डा (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
49.	-वही-	173—नीगढ	श्री सतीश चन्द्र ग्राम सिवरापार, पो. चौरी महुलानी, जनपद सिद्धार्थनगर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
50.	-वही-	177—जलिसाबाद	श्री रामदास ग्राम व पो. टी. एन. देवरिया जिला बस्ती (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
51.	-वही-	180—घुरियापार	श्री प्रभाकर सिंह ग्राम मंसही बुजुर्ग, पो. कतहवा जिला गोरखपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
52.	-वही-	182—कौडीराम	श्री बलकवारी महादेव भारद्वाज टुकड़ा नं. 3, कूठाघाट, गोरखपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
53.	-वही-	183—मुन्डेरा बाजार (अ.जा.)	श्री गामा, ग्राम व पो. बघाड, जिला गोरखपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
54.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री जोषू ग्राम व पो. खोराबार जिला गोरखपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-

1	2	3	4	5
55.	उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन 1989	183-मृन्डेग बाजार (अ.जा.)	श्री पारसनाथ पल श्री छोड़ी ग्राम जगल रसूलपुर नं. 2 (बकराहा) जिला गोरखपुर (उ.प्र.)	निर्वाचनोपयोगी क. कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहें।
56	-वही-	185-गोरखपुर	श्री रामनारायण म. जेठपुर, गोरखपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
57	-वही-	187-सहजनवा	श्री भक्त भूषण ग्राम ब पो पाली, गोरखपुर	-वही-
58.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री पटेश्वरी, ग्राम बड़या, पो. सहजनवा गोरखपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
59	-वही-	119-बीरापुर	श्री राजा राम, ग्राम सोढ सिलौधी प्रतापगढ़ (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
60	-वही-	188-पनवारा	श्री माता बदल ग्राम लक्ष्मीपुर, पो. सरपसहा जिला गोरखपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
61	-वही-	190-मधुसीपुर	श्री अयोदिया, ग्राम लवदहा, पो. केशली जिला महाराजगंज (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
62.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री दत्तारामदास गण्ना ग्राम श्यामकान्त, नौतनवा, जिला महाराजगंज (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
63	-वही-	192-महाराजगंज	श्री राम हरि ग्राम मैसी, पो. भिटौली, बाजार, जनपद महाराजगंज (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
64	-वही-	193-श्यामदेउरवा	श्री समपुष्पहीन ग्राम छिपवा, पो. मेमरा चन्दौली जनपद महाराजगंज (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
65.	-वही-	195-रामकोला	श्री गोबरी ग्राम ब पो. बसहिया उर्फ कप्तानगंज, जनपद देवरिया (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
66	-वही-	201-गौरी बाजार	श्री पारस नाथ ग्राम ब पो. वरपार, जिला देवरिया (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
67	-वही-	230-मोहम्मदाबाद	श्री फूल चन्द्र, ग्राम पहवरिया, पो. गोसलपुर, जनपद गाजीपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
68	-वही-	-वही-	श्री रमाशंकर यादव, ग्राम जेठपुर खुर्द, पो. जेठपुर कला, जिला गाजीपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
69	-वही-	-वही-	श्री रमनयन ग्राम लट्ठडीह, पो. गाधीनगर गाजीपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-

1	2	3	4	5
70.	उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1989	231—दिलदारनगर	श्री नसीम दिलदारनगर, गाजीपुर (उ.प्र.)	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
71.	-वही-	232—जमानिया	श्री विश्वयाथ, ग्राम महुहिया, पो. तारीघाट गाजीपुर (उ.प्र.)	वही
72.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री विजय कुमार, ग्राम एंव पो. नारी पंचदेवरा गाजीपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
73.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री धर्मराज, ग्राम बधरी पो. जमानिया गाजीपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
74.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री हरगोन, ग्राम बक अब्दुलसत्तार, पो. महाराजगंज, गाजीपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
75.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री सुरेश सिंह यादव, ग्राम ब पो. गडुवा मकसूरपुर गाजीपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
76.	-वही-	233—गाजीपुर	श्रीमती जैनिदिनी सिन्हा, मु. लाल दरवाजा, गाजीपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
77.	-वही-	238—चन्दौली	श्री बन्धू, ग्राम ब पो. नूरी, वाराणसी (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
78.	-वही-	242—वाराणसी दक्षिण	श्री संजय, के. 7/17 लालासुर लेन, गायघाट, वाराणसी (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
79.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री नाथ पाठक, रानीगुरु, सी. के. 8/38, गडुवासी टोला, वाराणसी (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
80.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री विजय प्रताप कुमार, बी 36/43-38, ब्रह्मानन्दगढ़, वाराणसी (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
81.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री राज मार, प. 9/8 डी. कयस्थ टोला, वाराणसी (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
82.	-वही-	244—चिरईगांव	श्री हौसिला मिश्र, जयशमपुर मुनारी वाराणसी (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
83.	-वही-	250—बरसठी	श्री राम निहोर, ग्राम कुदेली पो. आशापुर जोनपुर (उ.प्र.)	वही
84.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री विशाधर, ग्राम धोनापुर, पो. ममार्ह जोनपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
85.	-वही-	251—मडियाहू	श्री सुभाष चन्द्र साहू, मो. गंज कस्बा मडियाहू, जोनपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-

1	2	3	4	5
86.	उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1989	259--मछलीशहर	श्री दिनेश कुमार सिंह बरत, ग्राम करोर, पो. जंघई बाजार जौनपुर (उ.प्र.)	निर्वाचन व्यर्थों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहें।
87.	वही	वही	श्री महेन्द्र कुमार तिवारी, ग्राम समेरी, पो. जंघई बाजार जौनपुर (उ.प्र.)	वही
88	वही	260--दुहरी (अ.आ.)	श्री शिव प्रसाद, ग्राम ब.पो. सागो बाध, तह दुहरी जिला मोनभद्र (उ.प्र.)	वही
89	वही	263--बुनार	श्री भोला नाथ, राजघाट काशी रेलवे, वाराणसी (उ.प्र.)	वही
90.	वही	वही	श्री प्रेम सिंह, ग्राम समोलापुर, पो. कोलगा जिला मिर्जापुर (उ.प्र.)	वही
91.	वही	वही	श्री राकेश, ग्राम लोडवा, पो. जमालपुर, जिला मिर्जापुर (उ.प्र.)	वही
92.	वही	264--मशवा	श्री चन्द्रशेखर, ग्राम अचौली, पो. बघौली, मिर्जापुर (उ.प्र.)	वही
93	वही	266--छानवे (अ.जा.)	श्री मेही ग्राम भस्महना, पो. सवर, मिर्जापुर (उ.प्र.)	वही
94.	वही	282--किमुनपुर (अ.जा.)	श्री कल्लू ग्राम गिवपुरी, पो. चरवामीपुर फतेहपुर (उ.प्र.)	वही
95.	वही	285--बहानावा	श्री रघुवीर सिंह पुत्र श्री बाबू सिंह मु. जवाहरनगर घाटमपुर, जिला कानपुर (उ.प्र.)	वही
96.	वही	287--आर्यानिगर	श्री रमाणंकर 9/5 इदगाह कलोनो, कानपुर (उ.प्र.)	वही
97.	वही	289--जनरलगज	श्री दलीप सिंह 20/183, पटकापुर, कानपुर (उ.प्र.)	वही
98.	वही	290--कामपुरकैन्ट	श्री प्रभात एफ-8, शान्तीनगर, कानपुर (उ.प्र.)	वही
99.	वही	300--डेरपुर	श्री सुरेन्द्र नाथ पाण्डेय महात्मा गान्धी गोपोनाथ, हा. से. स्कूल परिसर 10/152, कानपुरनगर (उ.प्र.)	वही
100.	वही	301--औरैया	श्री विरेन्द्र सिंह, अजयतपुर सदुद, तह. औरैया जिला इटावा (उ.प्र.)	वही

1	2	3	4	5
101.	उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन 1989	305—जसवन्तनगर	श्री नौरतन सिंह खडकौली इटावा (उ.प्र.)	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहें
102	वही	वही	श्री नरेश चन्द्र ग्राम व पो. हेवरा, इटावा (उ.प्र.)	वही
103.	वही	312—फर्रुखाबाद	श्री राम यादव ग्राम परतापुर कर्ना, फर्रुखाबाद (उ.प्र.)	वही
104.	वही	वही	श्री सुबोध कुमार, 73 मृपती साहेब, फर्रुखाबाद, (उ.प्र.)	वही
105.	वही	313—फायसगंज	श्री देवपाल सिंह यादव, ग्राम दहिलिया, पो. मेरापुर, फसीया (उ.प्र.)	वही
106.	वही	322—मोहदा	श्री फूल सिंह, ग्राम व पो. मुस्करा, तह. मोहदा, जिला हमीरपुर (उ.प्र.)	वही
107.	वही	333—उरई	श्री खुरीद आलम निवासी चन्द्रनगर उरई जिला जालौन (उ.प्र.)	वही
108.	वही	वही	श्री प्रमोद कुमार छोटी माता कोठरा जिला जालौन (उ.प्र.)	वही
109.	वही	334—कालपी	श्री मोहर सिंह ग्राम जयमुखापुर, पो. कुममेरा बावनी, तह. कालपी, जिला जालौन (उ.प्र.)	वही
110.	वही	336—भोगाय	श्री अरविन्द कुमार पुत्र श्री कुतुब सिंह ग्राम महरूपुर राबी, पो. कमालगंज जिला फर्रुखाबाद (उ.प्र.)	वही
111.	वही	339—शिकोहाबाद	श्री कैलाश दखिनारा, शिकोहाबाद (उ.प्र.)	वही
112.	वही	वही	श्री विजयपाल सिंह कटेना हसी (उ.प्र.)	वही
113.	वही	343—अलीगंज	श्री अन्प सिंह ग्राम कंचनपुर आसे नं. 2, पो. अलीगंज, एटा (उ.प्र.)	वही
114.	वही	वही	श्री हीरा लाल ग्राम अगोनापुर, पो. अलीगंज एटा (उ.प्र.)	वही
115.	वही	वही	श्री जगमोहन सिंह ग्राम जग, पो. जुनेवपुर एटा (उ.प्र.)	वही

1	2	3	4	5
116.	उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1989	344--पटियाली	श्री अमर सिंह मु. सुदामापुरी गंजहण्डवाग, एटा (उ.प्र.)	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
117.	वही	वही	श्री विरेन्द्र सिंह ग्राम सरसैट, पो. सरसईनर एटा (उ.प्र.)	वही
118.	वही	345--सफीट	श्री जितेन्द्र सिंह, ग्राम सुगा, पो. मलावन, एटा (उ.प्र.)	वही
119.	वही	वही	श्री दुरबोन सिंह ग्राम कसूरी ग्राम, एटा (उ.प्र.)	वही
120.	वही	346--मोरो	श्री मुन्ना लाल ग्राम व पो. मलानन जिला एटा (उ.प्र.)	वही
121.	वही	351--फिरोजाबाद	श्री राम सहाय बसई, पो. टुण्डला फिरोजाबाद (उ.प्र.)	वही
122.	वही	368--हाथरस	श्री ब्रजेण कुमार म. नं. 1077 तबेला गन्ना हाथरस, अलीगढ़ (उ.प्र.)	वही
123.	वही	371--गंगौरी	श्री अरविन्द कुमार ग्राम व पो. बिजौली, अलीगढ़ (उ.प्र.)	वही
124.	वही	376--ब्रौली	श्री शिवचरन (अ.जा.) 418, नई बस्ती, अलीगढ़ (उ.प्र.)	वही
125.	वही	वही	श्री मत्पनारायण ग्राम व पो. अमरौली, अलीगढ़ (उ.प्र.)	वही
126.	वही	346--सोरो	श्री अनार सिंह ग्राम अमापुर, जिला एटा (उ.प्र.)	वही
127.	वही	347--कासगंज	श्री राम प्रतापसिंह ग्राम टिकमपुरा, पो. मोहनपुरा एटा (उ.प्र.)	वही
128.	उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए प्रत्यादिष्ट निर्वाचन, 1989	386--मिकन्दराबाद	श्री नबमी चन्द गोममपुरी चमारान दादगी, गाजियाबाद (उ.प्र.)	वही
129.	वही	वही	श्री सोरभ 183 सिविल लाइन, बुलन्दशहर (उ.प्र.)	वही
130.	उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1989	389--मुरादनगर	श्री तेजपाल ग्राम मिखेडा हजारी, पो. मोदीनगर, जिला गाजियाबाद (उ.प्र.)	वही
131.	वही	वही	श्री अशूध ग्र. व पो. समूरी जिला गाजियाबाद (उ.प्र.)	वही

1	2	3	4	5
132.	उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन 1989	389—मुरादनगर	श्री ओमपाल सिंह ग्राम आनमपुर उजेडा, पो. मोन्दा, जिला गाजियाबाद (उ.प्र.)	निर्वाचित व्यक्तों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
133.	वही	वही	श्री यूसूफ म. नठ. 28, मौ मदरमा सावान गाजियाबाद (उ.प्र.)	वही
134.	वही	388—गाजियाबाद	श्री मुहम्मद ऊमर ग्राम मकुरी, पो. डसनर ग्राम. एम. जिला गाजियाबाद (उ.प्र.)	वही
135.	वही	वही	श्री तथु सिंह त्यागी ग्राम भतपुर, पो. मानपुर, पश्चिमी बंगाल, तह. खानो, जिला गाजियाबाद (उ.प्र.)	वही
136.	वही	वही	श्री राम सिंह 966-ए, विजय नगर गाजियाबाद (उ.प्र.)	वही
137.	वही	वही	श्री रिशुदीन 217-ए, गरीब न. 14 केला भट्टी, गाजियाबाद (उ.प्र.)	वही
138.	वही	385—गाजियाबाद	श्री सतेन्द्र कुमार शर्मा 127, नई बस्ती गाजियाबाद (उ.प्र.)	वही
139.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री सुभाष गुप्ता एस. एच-17 शास्त्री नगर गाजियाबाद (उ.प्र.)	—वही—
140.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री सतनाम 132 बूड भारतनगर गाजियाबाद (उ.प्र.)	—वही—
141.	—वही—	291—गोविन्दनगर	श्री राजेन्द्र सिंह 130/100 "ए" बंगला अजीतगंज कानपुर (उ.प्र.)	—वही—
142.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री राम शंकर 90, गुर्जनी मुरादनगर कानपुर (उ.प्र.)	—वही—
143.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री राम बाबू 322 आनन्दे बिहार, नौबस्ता कानपुर (उ.प्र.)	—वही—
144.	—वही—	392—गढ़मुक्तेश्वर	श्री राजेन्द्र पुत्र श्री धर्मसिंह नि. डाना तह. गढ़मुक्तेश्वर जिला गाजियाबाद (उ.प्र.)	वही
145.	—वही	397—मेरठ	श्री राज किशोर 158 जयदवीनगर मेरठ (उ.प्र.)	—वही—

1	2	3	4	5
146.	उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन 1989	408—मुजफ्फरनगर	श्री नवाब ग्राम व पो. मुजड जिला मुजफ्फरनगर (उ.प्र.)	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे ।
147.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री श्रीराम 7-बाजार ए, नई मंडी मुजफ्फरनगर (उ.प्र.)	वही
147.	-वही-	411—कैराना	श्री वहीद कस्बा छपरौली मो. काजीयान जिला मेरठ (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
149.	-वही-	415—नकुड	श्री हरि सिंह नया बांस सहारनपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
150.	-वही-	419—मुजफ्फराबाद	श्री इसरार ग्राम कुतुबपुर कुसानी पो. कैलाशपुर जिला सहारनपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
151.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री तेजपाल ग्राम शहीदवाला पो. बुग्गावाला हरिद्वार (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
152.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री प्रितम सिंह ग्राम बादलपुर पो. बेहडा सदल सिंह, जिला सहारनपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
153.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री रणवीर ग्राम व पो. कालूवाला पहाड़ीपुर जिला सहारनपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
154.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री सुखपाल ग्राम कबीरपुर पो. न्यामतपुर जिला सहारनपुर (उ.प्र.)	-वही-
155.	-वही-	425—देहरादून	श्री राजेश गैरोला उर्फ रमेश गैरोला 19 सरस्वती सोनी मार्ग लक्ष्मण रोड देहरादून (उ.प्र.)	-वही-

(सं. 76/उ.प्र.-वि. स./90)

आदेश से,
बलवन्त सिंह, सचिव

New Delhi, 7th May, 1992

ORDER

O.N. 153.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that each of the contesting candidate specified in column 4 of the table below at the General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly as specified in column (2) held from the constituency specified in column (3) against his name has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the

People Act, 1951 and the rules made thereunder as shown in column (5) of the said Table:

And, whereas, the said candidates have not furnished any reason or explanation for the said failure even after due notice and the Election Commission is thus satisfied that they have no good reason or justification for the said failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the persons specified in Column (4) of the Table below to be disqualified for being chosen as,

and for being a member of either House of the Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of State for a period of 3 years from the date of this Order :—

TABLE

S. Particulars of election No.	No. and Name of Constituency	Name and address of contesting candidate	Reason for disqualification
1	2	3	4
5			
1. General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1989.	1—Uttar Kashi (SC)	Smt. Sushila Kumar Khara Narendernagar Tehri Garhwal (U.P.)	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses
2. -do-	2—Tehri	Sh. Piyus Unipal, Masoori Road, Chamba, Tehri Garhwal (U.P.)	-do-
3. -do-	-do-	Sh. Puran Singh, Vill. Goran Sirai P.O. Sirai Petii Juva, Tehri-Garhwal (U.P.)	-do-
4. -do-	-do-	Sh. Satya Narayan, Vill. Chamiala P.O. Chamiala, Distt. Tehri Garhwal (U.P.)	-do-
5. -do-	5—Pauri	Sh. Anil, Vill. Bhagtyana Srinagar (U.P.)	-do-
6. -do-	7—Badri Kedar	Smt. Prabha Sati Vill. & Post. Saikot, Distt. Chamoli (U.P.)	-do-
7. -do-	15—Haldwani	Sh. Surendra Kumar, Bhagat Singh Chowk Rudrapur (U.P.)	-do-
8. -do-	24—Kanth	Sh. Ahsan, Vill. Kuramirpur Amroha (U.P.)	-do-
9. -do-	33—Moradabad	Sh. Ganesh, Moh. Barwalan Katghar, Moradabad (U.P.)	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
10.	General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1989.	33—Moradabad	Sh. Gopal Shile, Beh. Ganga Mandir, Kayeran Moradabad (U.P.)	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
11.	-do-	-do-	Mohd. Muraslin Abbasi, Moh. Kaji Tola, Moradabad (U.P.)	-do-
12.	-do-	40—Bisauli	Sh. Abdul Jabbar, Moh. Azad Chowk Ishlamnagar, Budaun (U.P.)	-do-
13.	-do-	41—Gunnaur	Sh. Bahadur Singh Yadav, Vill. & Post. Harfari Distt. Budaun (U.P.)	do
14.	-do-	42—Sahaswan	Sh. Salim Sabir Saddik, S/o Sh. Kadir, Alakha Sarai Budaun (U.P.)	do
15.	-do-	44—Budaun	Mohd. Brajis, Moh. Nagran, Budaun (U.P.)	-do-
16.	-do-	52—Bareilly City	Sh. Sunil Kumar, 6/6, Sahukara, Bareilly (U.P.)	-do-
17.	-do-	61—Powayan (SC)	Sh. Bhagwan Sharan, Vill. Baniyani, Post Jewan, Distt. Shahjahanpur (U.P.)	-do-
18.	-do-	62—Nigohi	Sh. Ram Prakash, Vill. & Post. Miranpur Katra, Shahjahanpur (U.P.)	-do-
19.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Ram Swarup, Vill. & Post. Khauni, Distt. Pilibhit (U.P.)	-do-
20.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Sayed Khan, Vill. Delkhera, Pargana Nigohi, Distt. Shahjahanpur (U.P.)	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
21.	General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1989.	79—Sitapur	Sh. Parwan, Vill. Tikriya Post. Rahimabad Sitapur (U.P.)	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
22.	-do-	86—Hardoi	Sh. Chhedi Lal, Moh. 54 Gipasganj Hardoi (U.P.)	-do-
23.	-do-	92 —Bangarmau	Sh. Subedar, Vill. Khalak-Khera Majure Darauli, Distt. Unnao (U.P.)	-do-
24.	-do-	94—Unnao	Sh. Jagrup Prasad, 80, North East Shuklaganj, Unnao (U.P.)	-do-
25.	-do-	97—Purwa	Sh. Kedar Nath, Vill. Paharpur, Teh. Purwa, Distt. Unnao (U.P.)	-do-
26.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Jafar Mohamad, Moh. Chandanganj, Town Maurawa, Distt. Unnao (U.P.)	-do-
27.	-do-	99 —Malihabad (SC)	Sh. Chander Bhan, Vill. Lal Bagh, Kakori, Distt. Lucknow (U.P.)	-do-
28.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Mewa Lal, Vill. Neja Bhari, Post. Malihabad, Distt. Lucknow (U.P.)	-do-
29.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Ram Asrey, Vill. Madhwapur, (Kashmandi Khurd) Post. Malihabad Distt. Lucknow (U.P.)	do
30.	do	102—Lucknow West	Sh. Mohd. Arif, 9, Shahganj, Lucknow (U.P.)	do
31.	do	do-	Sh. Chandra Kishor (Chaman) Advocate, 325/135, Sodhi Tola Chowk, Lucknow (U.P.)	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
32.	General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1989.	104—Lucknow Cantonment	Sh. Abdul Nascir, Nasir, 64/12, -Masjid Lane, Lalkuan Lucknow (U.P.)	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
33.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Shiv Murti Singh, S.H. 1 Fatehali ka Talav, Charbagh, Lucknow (U.P.)	-do-
34.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Shiv Kumar Avasthi, Sohan Lal Murari School, East Birhana, Lucknow (U.P.)	-do-
35.	-do-	105—Sarojini Nagar	Sh. Satya Narayan Yadav, Charai Ka Purwa, Arjunganj, Lucknow (U.P.)	-do-
36.	-do-	117—Garhwara	Sh. Ram Das, Bamnan, Pratapgarh (U.P.)	-do
37.	do	118—Pratapgarh	Sh. Ram Lakhan Sagra, Pratapgarh (U.P.)	do
38.	-do-	119—Birapur	Sh. Munni Lal, Parsamau, Amapur Beri, Pratapgarh (U.P.)	-do-
39.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Rajaram, Vill. Sorh, Silaudhi Pratapgarh (U.P.)	-do-
40.	-do-	121—Amethi	Sh Bhagwat Prasad , Vill. & Post. Savnagi Sultanpur (U P.)	-do-
41.	-do-	123—Jagdishpur (SC)	Sh. Prahlad, Vill. Aranpur, Post. Nara, Sultanpur (U.P.)	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
42	General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1989.	124—Issauli	Sh. Sarju, Vill. & Post. Jura Patti, Sultanpur (U.P.)	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
43.	-do-	126—Jaisinghpur	Sh. Ram Chandra, Vill. Parsoha, Post. Kariban, Sultanpur (U.P.)	-do-
44.	-do-	155—Tulsipur	Sh. Raghvendra, Vill. Kalyanpur, Post. Naukanyan, Distt. Gonda (U.P.)	-do-
45.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Shyamji, Vill. & Post. Lalnagar Sipahia, Distt. Gonda (U.P.)	-do-
46.	do	156—Balrampur	Smt. Kishuni Devi, Vill. Udai Raj Purwa Post. Hansuwa Dole Gonda (U.P.)	do
47.	.do	157—Utraula	Sh. Man Bahadur, Utraula (Gosaijot) (U.P.)	do
48.	-do-	158—Sadullanagar	Sh. Mohd. Basim, Vill. Newada, Post. Sadullanagar Gonda (U.P.)	-do-
49.	-do-	160—Mujehna	Sh. Ramesh Chandra Bhardwaj, Vill. & Post. Khargupur Bazar, Distt. Gonda (U.P.)	do-
50.	-do-	173—Naugarh	Sh. Satish Chandra, Vill. Sivarapar, Post. Chauri Mahulani Janpad, Siddarath Nagar (U.P.)	-do-
51.	-do-	177—Khalilabad	Sh. Ram Das, Vill. & Post. T.N. Dcoria, Distt. Basti (U.P.)	-do-
52.	-do-	180—Dhuriapar	Sh. Prathakar Singh, Vill. Maisahi Bujurg Post. Kanaiya, Distt. Gorakhpur (U.P.)	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
53.	General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1989.	182—Kauriram	Sh. Balakdhari Mahadev Jharkhandi Tukra No. 3, Kuraghat Gorakhpur (UP)	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
54.	-do-	183—Mundera Razar (SC)	Sh. Gama, Vill & Post Baghar, Distt. Gorakhpur (U.P.)	-do-
55.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Jokhu, Vill. & Post. Khorabar Distt. Gorakhpur	-do-
56.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Paras Nath S/o Sh. Chhauri, Vill. Jagal Rasulpur No. 2 (Bakraha) Distt. Gorakhpur (UP)	-do-
57.	-do-	185—Gorakhpur	Sh. Ram Narayan, Moh. Shespur, Gorakhpur (U.P.)	-do-
58.	-do-	187—Sahjanwa	Sh. Bhakat Bhusan, Vill. & Post Pali Gorakhpur (U.P.)	-do-
59.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Pateshwari, Vill. Badya, Post Sahjanwa, Gorakhpur (U.P.)	-do-
60.	-do-	188—Paniara	Sh. Mata Badal, Vill. Laxmipur, Post. Sarpatha, Distt. Gorakhpur (UP)	-do-
61.	-do-	190—Laxmipur	Sh. Ayodhya, Vill. Lavadha, Post Keshali, Distt. Maharajganj (U.P.)	-do-
62.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Banarsidas Gupta Vill. Shyamkat Nautanwan, Distt. Maharajganj (U.P.)	-do-
63.	-do-	192—Maharajganj	Sh. Ram Hari, Vill. Mainji, Post. Bhitauli Bazar, Distt. Maharajganj (U.P.)	-do-
64.	-do-	193—Shyam Deurwa	Sh. Samsuddin, Vill. Chhipya, Post Semra Chandauli, Distt. Maharajganj (UP)	-do-
65.	-do-	195—Ramkola	Sh. Gobri, Vill. & Post. Bashia Alias Kaptanganj, Distt. Deoria (U.P.)	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
66.	General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1989.	201—Gauri Bazar	Sh. Paras Nath, Vill. & Post Varpar Distt. Deoria (U.P.)	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
67.	-do-	231—Dildar Nagar	Sh. Naseem, Dildarnagar, Gazipur (U.P.)	-do-
68.	-do-	232—Zamania	Sh. Vishav Nath, Vill. Maduhia, Post. Tira-Ghat, Gazipur (U.P.)	-do-
69.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Vijay Kumar, Vill. & Post Nari Pachdeora Gazipur (U.P.)	-do-
70.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Dharamraj, Vill. Baghri, Post Zamania, Gazipur (UP)	-do-
71.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Hargane, Vill. Chak Abdulsatar Post Maharajganj, Gazipur (U.P.)	-do-
72.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Suresh Singh Yadav, Vill. & Post Garuwa Maksudpur, Gazipur (U.P.)	-do-
73.	-do-	233—Gazipur	Smt. Jainindni Sinha Moh, Laldarwaja, Gazipur (U.P.)	-do-
74.	-do-	238—Chandauli	Sh. Bandhu, Vill. & Post Nuri, Varanasi (U.P.)	-do-
75.	-do-	242--Varanasi South	Sh. Sanjay, K 7/17, Lalasur Lane, Gaighat Varanasi (U.P.)	do-
76.	-do-	-do	Sh. Nath Pathak, 'Raniguru' C.K. 8/38, Garhwasi Tola, Varanasi (U.P.)	do
77.	do	do	Sh. Vijay Pratap Kumra, B-36/43-38, Brahmanandgarh, Varanasi (U.P.)	-do
78.	-do-	do	Sh. Raj Kumar, A 9/8 D Kaysai Tola, Varanasi (UP)	-do
79.	-do-	244--Chiraj Gaon	Sh. Housila Mishra, Jairampur Munari, Varanasi (U.P.)	-do-
80.	-do-	250—Barsathi	Sh. Ram Nihore, Vill. Kudela, Post Ashapur, Jaunpur (UP)	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
81.	General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1989.	250-Barsathi	Sh. Vidhyadhar, Vill. Dinapur, Post Bhamai, Jaunpur (U.P.)	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
82.	-do-	251-Mariahu	Sh. Subhash Chandra Sahu, Moh. Ganj, Town Mariahu, Jaunpur (U.P.)	-do-
83.	-do-	259-Machhlisahar	Sh. Dinesh Kumar Singh Vatas, Vill. Karor, Post. Janghai Bazar, Jaunpur (U.P.)	-do-
84.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Mahendra Kumar Tiwari, Vill. Semari, Post Janghai Bazar, Jaunpur (U.P.)	-do-
85.	-do-	260-Dudhi (SC)	Sh. Shiv Prasad, Vill. & Post Sago Bandh, Teh. Dudhi, Distt. Sonbhadra (U.P.)	-do-
86.	-do-	263-Chunar **	Sh. Bhola Nath Rajghat Kashi Railway, Varanasi (U.P.)	-do-
87.	—do—	—do—	Sh. Prem Singh, Vill. Mamolapur, Post Kolna, Distt. Mirzapur. (U.P.).	—do—
88.	—do—	264-Majhwa	Sh. Chandra Shekhar. Vill. Adhauli, Post Bedauli, Mirzapur (U.P.).	—do—
89.	—do—	266-Chhanvey (SC)	Sh. Mehi, Vill. Bharuhna, Post Sadar, Mirzapur (U.P.).	—do—
90.	—do—	282-Kishanpur (SC)	Sh. Kallu, Vill. Shivpuri, Post Gharwasipur, Fatehpur (U.P.).	—do—
91.	—do—	285-Jahanabad	Sh. Raghuvir Singh, S/o Sh. Babu Singh, Moh. Jawahar Nagar, Ghatampur, Distt. Kanpur (U.P.).	—do—
92.	—do—	287-Aryanagar	Sh. Rama Shankar 9/5. Idgah Colony, Kanpur (U.P.).	—do—
93.	—do—	289-Generalganj	Sh. Dalip Singh, 20/183, Patkapur, Kanpur (U.P.).	- do—

(**) Please also see S. No. 155 at Page 16 of 263-Chunar Constituency.

1	2	3	4	5
94.	General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1989	290-Kanpur Cantt	Sh. Prabhat, F-8, Shanti Nagar, Kanpur (U.P.)	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
95	—do—	300-Derapur	Sh. Surendra Nath Pandey, Mahatma Gandhi Gopinath Hr. Sec. School Parisar, 10/152, Kanpur Nagar (U.P.).	—do—
96.	—do—	301-Auriya	Sh. Virendra Singh, Ajmatpur Sehud Teh. Auriya, Distt. Etawah (U.P.).	—do—
97.	—do—	305-Jaswant Nagar **	Sh. Naresh Chandra, Vill. & Post. Henvra, Etawah (U.P.).	—do—
98.	—do—	312-Farrukhabad	Sh. Ram Yadav, Vill. Partapur Kalan, Farrukhabad (U.P.).	—do—
99.	—do—	—do—	Sh. Subodh Kumar, 73, Mufti Saheb, Farrukhabad (U.P.).	—do—
100.	—do—	313-Kaimganj	Sh. Dev Pal Singh Yadav, Vill. Dahilia, Post Merapur, Fasiya (U.P.).	—do—
101.	—do—	230-Mohammadabad	Sh. Fool Chand, Vill. Pahadriya Post Gosalpur, Distt. Gazipur (U.P.).	—do—
102.	—do—	—do—	Sh. Rama Shankar Yadav, Vill. Sherpur Khurd, Post Sherpur Kalan, Distt. Gazipur (U.P.).	—do—
103.	—do—	—do—	Sh. Ramayan, Vill. Latthdih, Post Gandhi Nagar, Gazipur (U.P.).	—do—
104.	—do—	322-Maudaha	Sh. Fool Singh, Vill. & Post Musakra Teh. Maudaha, Distt. Hamirpur (U.P.).	—do—
	—do—	333-Orai	Sh. Khurshid Alam, R/o Chandra Nagar, Orai, Distt. Jalaun (U.P.).	—do—

(**) Please also see S. No. 156 at Page 17 of 305-Jaswantnagar Constituency.

1	2	3	4	5
106.	General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1989.	333-Orai	Sh. Pramed Kumar, Chhoti Mata Kotra, Distt. Jalaun (U.P.)	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
107.	-do-	334-Kalpi	Sh. Mohar Singh, Vill. Jaisukhapur, Post. Kusmera Bawni Teh. Kalpi, Distt. Jalaun (U.P.)	-do-
108.	-do-	336-Bhogaon	Sh. Arvind Kumar, S/o Sh. Kutub Singh Vill. Mubrapur Ravi, Post. Kamalganj, Distt. Farrukhabad (U.P.)	do
109.	do	339 Shikohabad	Sh. Kailash, Dakhinara, Shikohabad (U.P.)	-do-
110.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Vijay Pal Singh, Kataina Hasi (U.P.)	-do-
111.	-do-	343-Aliganj	Sh. Anup Singh, Vill. Kanchanpur Asey No. 2, Post Aliganj, Etah (UP)	-do-
112.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Hira Lal, Vill. Agonapur, Post Aliganj, Etah (U.P.)	-do-
113.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Jagmohan Singh, Vill. Dada, Post Junedpur, Etah (U.P.)	-do-
114.	-do-	344-Patiali	Sh. Amar Singh, Mohi Sudamapuri, Ganjdwara, Etah (U.P.)	-do-
115.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Virendra Singh, Vill. Sarsait, Post. Sarsainaru, Etah (U.P.)	-do-
116.	-do-	345-Sakit	Sh. Jitendra Singh, Vill. Suga, Post. Malawan Etah (U.P.)	-do-
117.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Durvin Singh, Vill. Kasuri Khas, Etah (U.P.)	-do-
118.	-do-	346-Soron	Sh. Munna Lal, Vill. & Post. Malatan, Distt. Etah (U.P.)	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
119.	General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1989.	346-Soron	Sh. Anar Singh, Vill. Amanpur, Distt. Etah (U.P.)	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
120.	-do-	347-Kasganj	Sh. Ram Pratap Singh, Vill. Tikampura, Post. Mohanpura, Etah (U.P.)	-do-
121.	-do-	351-Firozabad	Sh. Ram Sahai, Basai, Post. Tundla Firozabad (U.P.)	Failure to lodge account within the time & manner
122.	-do-	368-Hathras	Sh. Brajesh Kumar H No. 1077, Tavela Gali, Hathras, Aligarh (U.P.)	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
123.	-do-	371-Gangiri	Sh. Arvind Kumar, Vill. & Post. Bijauli, Aligarh (U.P.)	-do-
124.	-do-	376-Barauli	Sh. Shiv Charan (SC) 418, Nai Basti, Aligarh (U.P.)	-do-
125.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Satya Narayan, Vill. & Post. Amrauli, Aligarh (U.P.)	-do-
126.	Countermanded Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1990.	386-Sikandrabad	Sh. Saurabh, 183, Civil Line, Bulandshahar (U.P.)	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
127.	General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1989	-do-	Sh. Lakhmi Chand, Gosampuri Chamaran Dadri, Ghaziabad (U.P.)	-do-
128.	-do-	389-Murad Nagar	Sh. Ayub, Vill & Post. Masoori Ghaziabad (U.P.)	-do-
129.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Ompal Singh, Asafpur-Ujera, P. Sanda Ghaziabad (U.P.)	-do-
130.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Yusaf, H.No. 28, Moh. Madarsa Sadar, Distt. Ghaziabad (U.P.)	-do-
131.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Tej Pal, Vill. Sikhera Hazari, Post. Modi Nagar, Ghaziabad (U.P.)	-do-
132.	-do-	1388-Ghaziabad	Sh. Mohd. Umar, Vill. Masoori, Post. Dasnar R.S. Distt. Ghaziabad (U.P.)	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
133.	General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1989	388-Ghaziabad	Sh. Nethu Singh Tyagi, Vill. Makanpur, Post. Makanpur, Loni, Teh. Loni, Distt. Ghaziabad (U.P.)	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
134.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Ram Singh, 966-A, Vijay Nagar, Ghaziabad (U.P.)	-do-
135.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Riyazuddin, 217-A, Gali No. 14, Kela Bhatta, Ghaziabad (U.P.)	-do-
136.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Sitendra Kr. Sharma, 127, Nai Basti, Ghaziabad (UP)	-do-
137.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Subhas Gupta, S.H. 17, Shastri Nagar, Ghaziabad (U.P.)	-do-
138.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Sat Nam, 132, Bhrod Bharatinagar, Ghaziabad (U.P.)	-do-
139.	-do-	291-Govind-Nagar	Sh. Rajendra Singh, 130/100 'A' Bangahi, Ajitganj, Kanpur (U.P.)	-do-
140.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Ram Shankar, 90, Gajaini, Muradnagar, Kanpur (U.P.)	-do-
141.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Ram Babu, 322-Anand Bihar, Naubasta, Kanpur (U.P.)	-do-
142.	-do-	392-Garh nukteshwar	Sh. Rajendra S/o Sh. Dharam Singh, R/o Dana, Teh. Garhmukteshwar, Distt. Ghaziabad (U.P.)	-do-
143.	-do-	397-Meerut	Sh. Raj Kishore, 158 Jaidevi Nagar, Meerut (U.P.)	-do-
144.	-do-	408-Muzaffar-Nagar	Sh. Nawab, Vill. & Post, Suzar, Distt. Muzaffarnagar (U.P.)	-do-
145.	-do-	-do	Sh. Sriram 7 Bazar A, Nai Mandi Muzaffarnagar (U.P.)	do
146.	-do-	411-Kairana	Sh. Vahid, Town Chhaproi, Moh. Kajiyan Distt. Meerut (U.P.)	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
147.	General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1989	413-Nakur	Sh. Hari Singh, Naya Bans, Saharanpur (U.P.)	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
148.	-do-	419-Muzaffarabad	Sh. Tej Pal Singh, Vill. Saheedwala Post. Bungawala Hardwar (U.P.)	-do-
149.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Israr, Vill. Kutubpur Kusani, Post. Kailashpur, Distt. Saharanpur (U.P.)	-do-
150.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Pritam Singh, Vill. Badalpur, Post. Behra Sandabh Sing, Distt. Saharanpur (U.P.)	-do-
151.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Ragbir, Vill. & Post. Kaluwala Paharipur, Distt. Saharanpur (U.P.)	-do-
152.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Sukhpal, Vill. Kabirpur, Post. Nyamatpur, Distt. Saharanpur (U.P.)	-do-
153.	-do-	425-Dehradun	Sh. Rajesh Gairaula, Alias Ramesh Gairaula 19, Sarswati Sogi Marg, Laxman Road, Dehradun (U P)	-do-
154.	-do-	263-Chunar	Sh. Rakesh, Vill. Lodwa, Post Jamalpur, Distt. Mirzapur (Uttar Pradesh).	-do-
155.	-do-	305-Jaswant-Nagar	Sh. Nauratan Singh, Kharkaulo Etawah U.P.	-do-

[No. 75/UP-LA/90]

By Order,
BALWANT SINGH. Secy.

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 7 मई, 1992

आ. अ. 154 .—निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (2) में यथा विनिर्दिष्ट लोक सभा के साधारण निर्वाचन के लिए जो स्तम्भ (3) में विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से हुआ है, स्तम्भ (4) में उसके सामने विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन लड़ने वाला प्रत्येक अभ्यर्थी, लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्वीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित उक्त सारणी के स्तम्भ (5) में यथा दर्शित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है;

और, उक्त अभ्यर्थियों ने सम्यक सूचना दिए जाने पर भी उक्त असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है और निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उनके पास उक्त असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नहीं है; अतः, अब, निर्वाचन आयोग उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-क के अनुसरण में नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (4) में विनिर्दिष्ट व्यक्तियों को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य/संघ राज्य क्षेत्र की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए आदेश को त्तराख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहित घोषित करता है।

सारणी

क्र. सं.	निर्वाचन का विवरण	संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र की क्र. सं. और नाम	निर्वाचन लड़ने वाले अभ्यर्थी का नाम और पता	निरहता के कारण
1	2	3	4	5
1.	लोकसभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1989	34—बीड	राठीड घनश्याम मानसिंह, स्थान—नीमपानी—टकली, डाकघर—सोना—थाडी, तालुक—माजलगांव, जिला—बीड, महाराष्ट्र।	निर्वाचन व्यय का कोई लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल।
2.	—वही—	37—सोलापुर	काशिद रामचन्द्र पीताम्बर, स्थान और डाकघर—सोनन्द, तालुक—सोनन्द, जिला—सोलापुर, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—

[सं. 76/महा.-लो. स./91 (98-99)]

आदेश से,

ए. के. श्रीवास्तव, सचिव

ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th May, 1992

O.N. 154.—Whereas, the Election Commission of India is satisfied that each of the contesting candidates specified in column 4 of the Table below at the General Elections to the House of the People as specified in column 2 and held from the constituency specified in column 3 against his/her name has failed to lodge the account of of his/her election expenses as shown in column 5 of the said Table as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and the Rules made thereunder;

And, where as the said candidates have not furnished any reason or explanation for the said failure even after due notice by the Election Commission and the Commission is satisfied that they have no good reason or justification for the said failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the persons specified in Column (4) of the Table below to be disqualified for being chosen and for being a member of either House of Parliament or the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of 3 years from the date of this order :—

TABLE

S. No.	Particulars of Election	S. No. & Names of Parliamentary Constituency	Name & Address of the contesting candidate	Reasons for disqualification
1	2	3	4	5
1.	General Elections to the House of the People, 1989	34-Beed	Rathod Ghanasham Mansing, At Nimpani-Takali, P.O. Sonna-Thadi, Tq. Majalgaon, Dist. Beed, Maharashtra.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.

1	2	3	4	5
2.	General Election to the House of the People, 1989	37-Solapur	Kashid Ramchandra Pitambar, At P.O. Sonad, Tal. Sangola, Distt. Solapur, Maharashtra.	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.

[No. 76/MT-HP/91(98-99)]

By order,

A.K. SRIVASTAVA, Secy.

शुद्धिपत्र

नई दिल्ली, 22 मई, 1992

आ. अ. 155.—निर्वाचन आयोग के आदेश सं. 76/राज.—वि. स./91(4), तारीख 9 मार्च, 1992 की क्रम सं. 416 के सभी स्तम्भों का लोप कर दिया जाए और शेष क्रम संख्या को पुन. संख्यांकित न किया जाए।

[सं. राज—वि. स./183/90]

आदेश से,

बलवन्त सिंह, सचिव

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, 22nd May, 1992

O.N. 155. —All the Columns of serial No. 416 of the order of the Election Commission No. 76/RJ-LA/91(4), dated 9th March, 1992, may be deleted and remaining serial number may not be re-numbered.

[No. RJ-LA/183/90]

By Order,

BALWANT SINGH, Secy.